Research Note

Evaluation of visible implants elastomer tags in juveniles of red octopus *Octopus maya*

Evaluación de implantes visibles de elastómero en juveniles de pulpo rojo Octopus maya

R. Isaac Rojas-González¹, Esteban Bada-Sánchez¹, Raúl E. Lara-Mendoza¹^{*}, Rosalinda López-Pérez² and José L. Arzabala-Molina²

¹Dirección de Investigación Pesquera en el Atlántico, Instituto Nacional de Pesca y Acuacultura, SADER, Ciudad de México, 04100, México

²Tecnológico Nacional de México, Instituto Tecnológico de Lerma, Extensión Champotón, Campeche, México

*Corresponding author: rlara.fish@gmail.com

Abstract.- In this work, the effects of visible implant elastomer (VIE) tags on survival and body growth rate on juvenile red octopus *Octopus maya* from the Yucatan Peninsula were tested under controlled conditions. Juveniles with an average weight of 1.14 g were not affected by VIE within 30 days, so this methodology is suggested as a useful tool to study the life cycle aspects of this important fishery species.

Key words: Octopus maya, tagging, visible implants elastomer

INTRODUCTION

Mexico is one of the three primary octopus producers worldwide. The Yucatan Peninsula, composed by Campeche, Yucatan, and Quintana Roo, has the largest catches, with more than 90% of the national production (SIAP 2019) and almost 100% of the catches in the Gulf of Mexico. This fishery is of great importance because it offers a lot of direct and indirect jobs in the region (DOF $2018)^{1}$. The two most captured species are the red octopus Octopus maya Voss & Solís, 1966 that accounts for 74% of the catches and the common octopus O. vulgaris Cuvier, 1797. Between 2,065 and 14,780 tons of red octopuses were caught in Campeche during the 1998-2019 period, whose information was obtained from the Fisheries and Aquaculture Information System (SIPESCA²) of the National Commission of Aquaculture and Fisheries (CONAPESCA). The fishery, which is managed by a Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) and with a catch quota during the fishing season (August to December) (DOF 2014)³ has reached its maximum sustainable yield (MSY) (DOF 2018)¹. Due to the socio-economic importance of this fishery, it is essential to have a broader understanding of the red octopus population dynamics to achieve sustainable management. One way to monitor the population

movements, migrations, behavior, age, individual growth rate, recruitment, mortality, and abundance is the use of marks in specimens (McFarlane et al. 1990, Barry et al. 2011). A diverse number of marking techniques have been used on cephalopods, such as chemical (Ikeda et al. 1999, 2003), electronic (Mather et al. 1985, Anderson & Babcock 1999, Scheel et al. 2007), external (Hartwick et al. 1984, Robinson & Hartwick 1986, Nagasawa et al. 1991, Mereu et al. 2015) and subcutaneous plastic marks (Nagasawa et al. 1991, Replinger & Wood 2007). The subcutaneous implant marks, specifically the visible implant elastomer (VIE) has been used successfully in the giant octopus of the North Pacific (Enteroctopus dofleini Wülker, 1910) (Brewer & Norcross 2012, 2013), in the oval squid (Sepioteuthis lessoniana Lesson, 1830) (Ikeda et al. 2009) and the Caribbean reef squid (S. sepioidea Blainville, 1823) (Replinger & Wood 2007). VIE tag could be the solution to study the different aspects of the life history and behavior of red octopus. Previously, in the Yucatan Peninsula external marks (T-bar anchor tags and circular plastic tags) were tested on young individuals of red octopus, with no success, and for these reasons the main objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of VIE tags on survival and body growth rate of red octopus juveniles.

¹DOF. 2018. Acuerdo por el que se da a conocer la actualización de la Carta Nacional Pesquera. Diario Oficial de la Federación, Gobierno de México. http://dof.gob.mx/nota_detalle.php?codigo=5525712&fecha=11/06/2018

²Sistema de Información de Pesca y Acuacultura, Comisión Nacional de Acuacultura y Pesca, Secretaría de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural, Gobierno de México, Mazatlán. https://sipesca.conapesca.gob.mx/

³DOF. 2014. Acuerdo por el que se da a conocer el Plan de Manejo Pesquero de pulpo (*O. maya* y *O. vulgaris*) del Golfo de México y Mar Caribe. Diario Oficial de la Federación, Gobierno de México. http://dof.gob.mx/nota_detalle.php?codigo=5338727&fecha=28/03/2014

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Using the fishing gear locally known as "jimba" that consists of a rod with several lines with live crabs (Callinectes spp.) as bite, three ovigerous red octopus females about to spawn were collected by the octopus fleet fishery near to Champoton, Campeche (19°21'N, 90°45'W). The collected individuals were maintained in aquariums until spawning occurred, and the eggs hatched. Then, 360 juveniles were randomly selected for the experiment, each with an average weight of 1.14 g (SD= 0.35 g), to prove the effectiveness of VIE for two life history indicators (survival and body growth rate). According to previous studies for tagging experiment in cephalopods (Ikeda et al. 2009), each organism was anesthetized, submerging them in a seawater solution with 1% ethanol for a minute. The experimental design consisted of three treatments with 120 juveniles in each one of them. The first treatment comprised of organisms with VIE marks, made with a subcutaneous injection between the eyes (Fig. 1). The second treatment, known as the positive control, was composed of individuals with a saline solution injection, and the third treatment, known as the negative control, made of organisms without any mark or injection.

The organisms in each treatment were exposed to the same conditions of seawater for 30 days in recipients of 60 L. The abiotic parameters were taken daily with an average temperature of 26.69 °C (SD= 1.22 °C), dissolved oxygen of 7.49 mg L^{-1} (SD= 0.46 mg L^{-1}), pH of 8.08 (SD= 0.04), salinity of 35 and dissolved ammonium of 0.20 mg L⁻¹. All organisms had the same diet, using daily the ad libitum method with preys commonly consumed in their natural environment as amphipods, gastropods and fresh crab meat (Rosas et al. 2008). At the beginning, after 20 days and at 30 days (end of the experiment), the number of octopuses was counted, each individual was weighted with an analytical scale (\pm 0.01 g), and the VIE mark was verified by the presence of orange color between the eyes. Survival was estimated as the proportion (%) of organisms alive after 20 days and 30 days with respect to the initial number of organisms within each treatment. Body growth rate (BGR) was estimated according to the difference between the final (W) and initial weight (W) of each treatment during the number of days of the experiment in g day⁻¹. Previously, the normality and homogeneity of variance of BGR and survival data was evaluated using Shapiro-Wilk (W) and Levene's tests, respectively. Later, to determine if there were differences in the survival and the body growth rate between treatments, a one-way ANOVA was used, with *R*-cran 4.0.0 software.



Figure 1. Visible implant elastomer tag (orange mark between eyes black arrow) in a juvenile of *Octopus maya* / Marca de implante visible de elastómero (marca anaranjada entre los ojos - flecha negra) en un individuo juvenil de *Octopus maya*

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the initial 360 red octopus juveniles, 68.6% (n= 247) and 51.1% (n= 184) survived after 20 and 30 days of experiment, respectively. At the end, the survival of negative control was 49.2%, 51.7% for positive control, and 52.5% for VIE treatment (Table 1). All organisms that survived VIE treatment had the characteristic orange mark between the eyes, which meant that they fully assimilated the elastomer. Data had a normal distribution (W= 0.93; P = 0.34), were homoscedastic (P = 0.98), and there was no evidence of significant differences between treatments (F=0.101; P = 0.42). Therefore, there is not enough evidence to prove the effect of VIE in octopus survival. Body growth rate data had a normal distribution (W=0.93; P=0.42) and were homoscedastic (P = 0.19), with a mean of 0.06 g day⁻¹ (grams per day) (SD=0.03). Negative control body growth rate was 0.06 g day⁻¹ (Table 1, Fig. 2), positive control had a value of 0.07 g day⁻¹, and VIE with an amount of 0.06 g day-1. There was no evidence of a significant difference between treatments concerning body growth rate (F=0.15; P = 0.87). These results suggest that the use of VIE in O. maya for experimental and population studies is feasible

Table 1. Body growth and survival rates by treatment for juvenile individuals of *Octopus maya* / Tasa de crecimiento corporal y supervivencia por tratamiento para los individuos juveniles de *Octopus maya*

Treatment -	Body growth rate (g day ⁻¹)				Survival
	Min	Max	Mean	SD	(%)
VIE	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.04	52.5
Positive control	0.03	0.14	0.07	0.05	51.7
Negative control	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.01	49.2



Figure 2. Survival (%) and body growth rate (g day⁻¹) by treatment for juveniles of *Octopus maya*. The black circle and square represent the mean and bars the standard deviation / Superviviencia (%) y tasa de crecimiento corporal (g día⁻¹) por tratamiento para los juveniles de *Octopus maya*. El círculo y cuadrado negro representan la media y las barras la desviación estándar

since they do not have adverse effects on survival and body growth rate, as observed at other cephalopods species (Zeeh & Wood 2009). VIE usage has successfully increased in recent years to study ecology, population dynamics, and behavior in different taxa. For example, vertebrates, invertebrates and decapods crustacean (Godin *et al.* 1996), echinoderms (Martinez *et al.* 2013), arachnids (Chapin 2011), insects (Moffatt 2013), cephalopods (Replinger & Wood 2007, Ikeda *et al.* 2009, Brewer & Norcross 2012), amphibians (Sapsford *et al.* 2015), cichlids (Schuett *et al.* 2017) and reptiles (Major *et al.* 2020). Other works have evaluated the performance of VIE on survival and growth of different species (Replinger & Wood 2007, Ikeda *et al.* 2009, Brewer & Norcross 2012), with similar results to the reported in this study. Therefore, VIE is a useful tool to study this ecological and fishing important species. Using VIE it is possible to study the productivity and other aspects of his life history.

Due to its socio-economic importance, the red octopus's fishery in the Yucatan Peninsula is one of the most regulated fisheries in the region. Among the management tools, there is an FMP (DOF 2014)³, a Mexican Official Norm (DOF 2016)⁴, and a chart of its stock status in the National Fisheries Chart (DOF 2018)¹. These tools aim to achieve sustainability through the use of a catch quota, minimum size, temporally closed season, and specifications in the fishing gear. However, this fishery has reached its MSY (DOF 2018)¹, making it necessary to have a better understanding of its life history parameters, demography, movements, migration, recruitment, and productivity to have a better understanding of the population dynamics; this could be possible with a marking program in the wild (Fuentes et al. 2006). Also, and due to the worldwide commercial interest in this fishery, some institutions have developed aquaculture technology and carried out research programs to release red octopus individuals into the wild, mainly for restocking purposes; VIE marks could be a useful tool to monitor the performance and success of these kinds of programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To Fundación Produce Campeche A.C. to financier and support this study. National Commission of Aquaculture and Fishery (CONAPESCA) for the development fishing permit PRMN/DGOPA.003/2015. To technical staff and students from the Instituto Tecnológico de Lerma-SEP and to Regional Center for Aquaculture and Fisheries Research from Lerma (CRIAP-Lerma). Thanks to Iván Martínez Tovar (Ocean Outcomes) and Ilse Martínez Candelas to edit the English version of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson TJ & RC Babcock. 1999. Subcutaneous electromagnetic tagging of benthic octopus: a preliminary evaluation. Marine and Freshwater Research 50: 225-227.
- Barry PD, SL Tamone & DA Tallmon. 2011. Comparison of tagging methodology for north pacific giant octopus *Enteroctopus dofleini*. Fisheries Research 109: 370-372.
- Brewer RS & BL Norcross. 2012. Long-term retention of internal elastomer tags in a wild population of North Pacific giant octopus (*Enteroctopus dofleini*). Fisheries Research 134-136: 17-20.

- Brewer RS & BL Norcross. 2013. Tagging studies to estimate growth, movement, population size and survival of the North Pacific giant octopus (*Enteroctopus dofleini*) in the Bering Sea. North Pacific Research Board Final Report 1005: 1-38.
- Chapin KJ. 2011. Suitability of a subcuticular permanent marking technique for scorpions. Journal of Arachnology 39: 194-196.
- Fuentes I, JJ Otero, C Moxica, FJ Sánchez & J Iglesias. 2006. Application of different external tagging methods to Octopus vulgaris Cuvier, 1797, with special reference to T-bar anchor tags and Petersen disks. Boletín del Instituto Español de Oceanografía 22(1-4): 3-11.
- Godin DM, W Carr, G Hagino, F Segura, JN Sweeney & L Blankenship. 1996. Evaluation of a fluorescent elastomer internal tag in juvenile and adult shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 139: 243-248.
- Hartwick EB, RF Ambrose & SMC Robinson. 1984. Den utilization and the movements of tagged Octopus dofleini. Marine Behavior and Physiology 11(2): 95-110.
- Ikeda Y, N Arai, W Sakamoto, M Mitsuhashi & K Yoshida. 1999. Preliminary report on PIXE analysis for trace elements of Octopus dofleini statoliths. Fisheries Science 65: 161-162.
- Ikeda Y, N Arai, H Kidokoro & W Sakamoto. 2003. Strontium: calcium ratios in statoliths of Japanese common squid *Todarodes pacific* (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) as indicators of migratory behavior. Marine Ecology Progress Series 251: 169-179.
- Ikeda Y, C Sugimoto, H Yonamine & Y Oshima. 2009. Method of etanol anaesthesia and individual marking for oval squid (*Sepioteuthis lessoniana* Férussac, 1831 in Lesson 1830-1831). Aquaculture Research 41: 157-160.
- Major T, DR Alkins, R Jeffrey & W Wüster. 2020. Marking the un-markable: visible implant elastomer in juvenile snakes. Herpetological Journal 30: 173-176.
- Martinez AS, M Byrne & RA Coleman. 2013. Unique tagging of small echinoderms: a case study using the cushion star *Parvulastra exigua*. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4: 993-1000.
- Mather JA, S Resler & J Cosgrove. 1985. Activity and movement patterns of *Octopus dofleini*. Marine Behavior and Physiology 11(4): 301-314.
- McFarlane GA, RS Wydoski & ED Prince. 1990. Historical review of the development of external tags and marks. In: Parker NC, AE Giorgi, RC Heidinger, DB Jester Jr, ED Prince & GAS Winans (eds). Fish-marking techniques. American Fisheries Society Symposium 7: 9-29. Seattle, Washington.

- Mereu M, B Agus, P Addis, S Cabiddu, A Cau, MC Follesa & D Cuccu. 2015. Movement estimation of *Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier, 1797 from mark recapture experiment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 470: 64-69.
- **Moffatt C. 2013.** Using visible implant elastomer to tag insects across life stages: a preliminary investigation with blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). The Canadian Entomologist 145: 466-470.
- Nagasawa K, S Takayanagi & T Takami. 1991. Cephalopod tagging and marking in Japan: a review. In: Okutani T, RK O'Dor & TS Kubodera (eds). Recent Advances in Fisheries Biology, pp. 313-329. Tokai University Press, Tokyo.
- Replinger SE & JB Wood. 2007. A preliminary investigation of the use of subcutaneous tagging in Caribbean reef squid *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae). Fisheries Research 84: 308-313.
- Robinson SMC & EB Hartwick. 1986. Analysis of growth based on tag recapture of the giant Pacific octopus *Octopusdofleini-martini*. Journal of Zoology 209: 559-572.
- Rosas C, J Tut, J Baeza, A Sánchez, V Sosa, C Pascual, L Arena, P Domingues & G Cuzon. 2008. Effect of type of binder on growth, digestibility, and energetic balance of *Octopus maya*. Aquaculture 275: 291-297.
- Sapsford SJ, RA Alford & L Schwarzkopf. 2015. Visible implant elastomer as a viable marking technique for common mistfrogs (*Litoria rheocola*). Herpetologica 71: 96-101.
- Scheel D, A Lauster & TLS Vincent. 2007. Habitat ecology of *Enteroctopus dofleini* from middens and live prey surveys in Prince William Sound Alaska. In: Landman NH, RA Davis & RH Mapes (eds). Cephalopods Present and Past: New Insights and Fresh Perspectives, pp. 434-458. Springer, Dordrecht/The Netherlands.
- Schuett W, TF Nava, N Rahmlow & U Scherer. 2017. Artificial Visible Implant Elastomer (VIE) tags of different colour and symmetry do not influence mate choice in a cichlid. Behaviour 154: 387-402.
- SIAP. 2019. Pulpo. En: Panorama agroalimentario 2019: Información Sectorial de las cadenas pesqueras. SIAP-AGRICULTURA, Servicio de Información Agroalimentaria y Pesquera, Ciudad de México, pp. 198-199. ">https://nube.siap.gob.mx/gobmx_publicaciones_siap/pag/2019/Atlas-Agroalimentario-2019>
- Zeeh KM & JB Wood. 2009. Impact of visible implant elastomer tags on the growth rate of captive Caribbean reef squid *Sepioteuthis sepioidea*. Fisheries Research 95(2-3): 362-364.

Received January 3 2021 and accepted November 8 2021