ARTICLE

Length-weight relationships and condition factor of two Sciaenid species *Micropogonias furnieri* and *Cynoscion guatucupa* from the Rio de la Plata and Uruguayan ocean coast, Southwestern Atlantic

Relaciones longitud-peso y factor de condición estacional y anual de dos especies de Sciaenidos *Micropogonias furnieri* y *Cynoscion guatucupa* del Río de la Plata y costa oceánica uruguaya (Atlántico Suroeste)

Oscar Galli[1*] and Walter Norbis[1,2]

[1]Departamento de Biología Poblacional, Dirección Nacional de Recursos Acuáticos (DINARA), Ministerio de Ganadería Agricultura y Pesca (MGAP), Constituyente 1497, PC-11200, Montevideo, Uruguay. *ogalli@dinara.gub.uy
[2]Laboratorio de Fisiología de la Reproducción y Ecología de Peces, Departamento de Biología Animal, Instituto de Biología. Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República, Iguá 4225, C.P. 11400, Montevideo, Uruguay

Resumen.- En este trabajo se analizaron las relaciones longitud-peso y el factor de condición de *Micropogonias furnieri* y *Cynoscion guatucupa* en diferentes épocas [verano; otoño; invierno, primavera y años (2006, 2007 y 2011)] a partir de muestreos mensuales de desembarques de la flota costera uruguaya que operó en el Río de la Plata y costa oceánica uruguaya. Fueron analizados 9.146 individuos de *M. furnieri* (entre 15-69 cm de longitud total) y 5.285 individuos de *C. guatucupa*, (entre 21-54 cm de longitud total). Para ambas especies, el coeficiente de alometría fue negativo (b < 3), excepto para *M. furnieri* en primavera de 2006 (isométrico, b = 3) y durante verano de 2011 (positivo, b > 3). Para *M. furnieri*, las pendientes no mostraron diferencias significativas entre el 2006 y 2007, mientras que el 2011 presentó diferencias significativas con ambos años. Para *C. guatucupa* no se encontraron diferencias significativas entre el 2007 y 2011, pero si cuando ambos años fueron comparados con el 2006. Los mayores valores del factor de condición fueron obtenidos en verano de 2006 y durante el verano y otoño del 2007 para *M. furnieri*, mientras que para *C. guatucupa* fueron en invierno 2006 y otoño 2007. Para ambas especies el factor de condición mostró diferencias significativas estacionales y anuales. Este trabajo presenta la primera referencia del factor de condición para estas especies de Sciaenidos en el área de estudio.

Palabras clave: Peces costeros, Corvina blanca, pescadilla de calada, Atlántico Sudoccidental, crecimiento alométrico

Abstract.- The present study analyses the seasonal (summer: January, February, March; autumn: April, May, June; winter: July, August, September and spring: October, November, December) and annual (2006, 2007 and 2011) length-weight relationships and condition factors of *Micropogonias furnieri* and *Cynoscion guatucupa* from Río de la Plata and the Uruguayan ocean coast from data collected throught monthly landings by the Uruguayan coastal fleet in the Montevideo port (Uruguay). A total of 9,146 individuals of *M. furnieri* ranging from 15 to 69 cm total length (L_T); and 5,285 individuals of *C. guatucupa*, ranging from 21 to 54 cm L_T were analyzed. For both species, the allometry coefficients showed that growth in the majority of seasons were negative (b < 3), except for *M. furnieri* in spring 2006 (isometric, b = 3) and during summer 2011 (positive, b > 3). For *M. furnieri*, no significant differences among slopes were found between 2006 and 2007 whereas 2011 showed significant differences compared to 2006 and 2007. For *C. guatucupa* no significant differences among slopes were found between 2007 and 2011, whereas 2006 showed significant differences with other years. The highest values for the condition factor in *M. furnieri* were recorded in summer 2006, and during the summer and autumn 2007 and for *C. guatucupa* in winter 2006 and autumn 2007. Significant seasonal and annual differences for condition factors were found for both species. This work provides the first reference regarding condition factor for both *sciaenid species* in the study area.

Key words: Coastal fishes, whitemouth croaker, striped weakfish, length-weight

INTRODUCTION

Studies on length-weight relationship are noteworthy in fishery because it shows relevance regarding fish population dynamics and growth patterns on fish stocks (Froese 2006). Length-weight relationship parameters (a and b) are useful in fisheries in many different ways: to estimate weight of individual fish from its length, to calculate condition factors (Le Cren 1951, Froese 2006), to compare life history and populations morphology belonging to different regions, and to evaluate changes in growth

associated with environmental disturbances such as increased temperatures, acidification, exploitation, among others (Petrakis & Stergiou 1995, Moutopoulos & Stergiou 2002, Froese 2006). The total fisheries production in the South Brazilian, Uruguayan and Argentinean coastal regions (< 50 m depth) are supported by sciaenid species (Vasconcellos & Haimovici 2006, Norbis *et al.* 2006, Villwock de Miranda & Haimovici 2007), mainly by the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) and the striped weakfish *Cynoscion guatucupa* (Cuvier 1830) (Carozza *et al.* 2004, Ruarte *et al.* 2004, Norbis *et al.* 2006, Norbis & Galli 2013). The whitemouth croaker is a demersal benthic marine species widely distributed along the western Atlantic coast from Mexico (20ºN) to Argentina (41ºS) (Isaac 1988). It is a multiple spawner that spawn in the inner part of the Rio de la Plata estuary and Uruguayan Atlantic coast from October to March (Austral spring-summer) (Macchi *et al.* 1996, 2003; Vizziano 2002). A juvenile occurs within the Río de la Plata (Jaureguizar *et al.* 2003) and at rivers entrance and streams and coastal lagoons of Uruguay (Borthagaray *et al.* 2011, Gurdek & Acuña 2014). The whitemouth croaker has 100% persistence in shallow internal estuarine waters (ranging 3 to 19 m deep), ranging in temperature from 16 to 20ºC and with salinity fluctuations between 13.5 and 22.5 (Lorenzo *et al.* 2011). The striped weakfish is a demersal bentho-pelagic fish found in South American Atlantic waters with a distribution ranging from Rio de Janeiro (22º54'S), Brazil, to northern Patagonia, Argentina (43ºS) (Cousseau & Perrotta 2004, Menezes *et al.* 2003). This species is a partial spawner (Cassia 1986, Macchi 1998) reproducing from October to March (austral spring-summer) in the ocean (Cassia 1986, Militelli & Macchi 2006) off the coast of Punta del Este (35º15'S - 54º50'W), Uruguay (Macchi 1998, Militelli & Macchi 2006). A juvenile occurs in high densities in the coastal zone of the Uruguayan shelf (Ruarte *et al.* 2005). The striped weakfish typically displayed high density and persistence in the Uruguayan coastal assemblage, located on the inner continental shelf in the Uruguayan Atlantic coast at depths of 17 to 40 m, with a temperature between 12 and 18ºC and salinity ranging from 28.9 and 33.1 (Lorenzo *et al.* 2011).

The aim of this study was to determine the seasonal and annual length-weight relationships and the condition factor of *M. furnieri* and *C. guatucupa*, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The two species under study are target species of the Uruguayan bottom trawls coastal fleet that operated in the Río de la Plata and Uruguayan coastal area (Norbis & Galli 2013). On board of fishing vessel and after catch, fishermen puts the fishes inside boxes. Each box of 23 kg total fish weight was defined as a sampling unit and the sampling consisted in taking all fish from 2 boxes. Sampling involves taking 2 boxes of the first landing, leaving 3 landings and get 2 boxes from next landing. These boxes had not been previously selected and the technicians who performed the sampling did not know which boxes were going to be sampled. This sampling procedure takes place from the beginning to the end of the landing. Fish landing was carried out up to 16 boxes at a time. Both species samples were obtained from monthly landings by Uruguayan coastal fleet at the Montevideo port (Uruguay) from 2006, 2007 and 2011 (Fig. 1). The data were grouped and analyzed by season (summer: January, February, March; autumn: April, May, June; winter: July, August, September and spring: October, November, December), and year (aggregate of data corresponding to each season of the year = pooled data). Fishes were measured from the tip of the mouth to the caudal fin (to the nearest 0.1 cm total length, L_T) and weighed using an electronic balance Sartorius (to the nearest 0.1 g total weight, W_T). The parameters for the equation $W_T = a\,L_T^b$ (Ricker 1973) were estimated by linear regression, after a logarithmic transformation of the variables. Model validation was analyzed by checking the errors trend, the statistical significance of the slope (*b*), the intercept (*a*) and the correlation coefficient (r) using the Student's t test (Sokal & Rohlf 1995). A total of 9,146 individuals of *M. furnieri* belonging to 3 summers, 2 autumns, 2 winters and 2 springs and a total of 5285 individuals of *C. guatucupa* belonging to 1 summer, 2 autumns, 2 winters and 3 springs, were analyzed for calculating the $L_T - W_T$ relationships. In order to check whether fish growth was statistically different from isometric growth (H_0: b= 3), a t-test was performed. The length-weight regression models among seasons of the same year, among years and from the same season from different years were compared with analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using the length as covariate (Sokal & Rohlf 1995). Relative condition factor (Krel) (Le Cren 1951) according to Froese (2006), was calculated by the following expression: Krel= W_T/aL_T^b, using *a* and *b* obtained of the regression models. The median Krel values with 25 and 75 percentiles were estimated using Past (version 3.10) (Hammer *et al.* 2001). The estimated Krel was compared among seasons per year and for each year using Kruskal-Wallis (KW) non-parametric analysis of variance (Sokal & Rohlf 1995). When significant differences were detected, we used the Mann-Whitney test, adjusted by Bonferroni criterion, in order to evaluate specific differences among seasons. In all cases we used a *P* = 0.05.

Figure 1. Spatial distribution of the uruguayan coastal fleet activity along of the year / Distribución espacial de la actividad de la flota costera uruguaya a lo largo de un año

RESULTS

MICROPOGONIAS FURNIERI

Minimum and maximum T_L for all sampled individuals was 15 cm and 69 cm, respectively (Table 1). All estimated regressions coefficient were highly significant ($P < 0.0001$), and ranged from 2.65 for summer 2006 to 3.08 for summer 2011. More than 90% of W_T variability was explained for the models, except for autumn 2006 ($r^2 = 88\%$) (Table 1). The allometry coefficients showed in most seasons a negative growth (b < 3), except for spring 2006 (isometric, b = 3) and for summer 2011 (positive, b > 3). Only for 2011 (pooled data) the growth was isometric. For slopes, significant differences between seasons within a year and between summer and winter for different years were found. Non-significant differences were found for spring (Table 1). For pooled data, non-significant differences were found between 2006 and 2007, whereas 2011 showed significant differences compared to 2006 and 2007. The highest values of Krel in descendent order were recorded in winter 2007, spring 2011, and autumn 2006. The lowest value was recorded during the summer 2007 (Table 1). Significant differences were found between seasons for 2006 (KW= 72.75, P= 1.099e^{-15} < 0.05; between autumn in relation with summer, winter and spring),

for 2007 (KW= 955.7, P= 2.2e^{-16} < 0.05; between winter with respect to summer and autumn), and between summer and spring for 2011 (KW= 25.7, P= 3.964e^{-07} < 0.05). Also, significant differences were found between years (KW= 955.7, P= 2,946e^{-208} < 0.05; between 2011 with respect to 2006 and 2007).

CYNOSCION GUATUCUPA

Minimum and maximum T_L among all individuals sampled were 21 cm and 54 cm, respectively (Table 2). All estimated regressions coefficient were highly significant ($P < 0.0001$), and ranged from 2.61 in autumn 2007 to 2.92 in spring 2011. More than the 90% of total W_T variability was explained for the models, except for spring 2006 and 2007 (r^2= 86 and 88%, respectively) (Table 2). The allometry coefficients showed that growth for all season and pooled data were negative (b < 3). For slopes, significant differences between seasons by year and between summer and winter for different years were found (Table 2). For pooled data, non significant differences were found between 2007 and 2011, whereas 2006 showed significant differences with other years. The highest values of

Table 1. Estimated parameters of length-weight relationships and relative condition factor by season and year for *M. furnieri*. Max= maximum length (cm); Min= minimum length (cm); n= number of individuals included in the analysis; a= intercept; b= slope; CI 95%= confidence interval; r= correlation coefficient; r²= coefficient of determination; Krel= Relative condition factor; 25 prcntil= percentile 25; 75 prcntil= percentile 75; (0)= Isometric growth; (+)= Significant positive allometry; (-)= Significant negative allometry; * = Significant differences (*P* < 0.05) / Parámetros estimados de la relación longitud-peso y factor de condición relativo por época y año para *M. furnieri*. Max= longitud máxima (cm); Min= longitud mínima (cm); n= número de individuos incluidos en el análisis; a= intercepto b= pendiente; CI 95%= intervalo de confianza; r= coeficiente de correlación; r²= coeficiente de determinación; Krel= Factor de condición relativo; 25 prcntil= Percentil 25; 75 prcntil= Percentil 75; (0)= Crecimiento isométrico; (+)= Alometría positiva significativa; (-)= Alometría negativa significativa; * = Diferencias significativas (*P* < 0,05)

| Year | Season | Length | | Parameters of the relationships | | | | | Relative Condition Factor | | |
		Min.	Max.	n	a	b ± CI 95%	r	r²	Krel	25 prcntil	75 prcntil
2006	Summer	18	61	1035	0.0413	2.65 ± 0.03* (-)	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.94	1.05
	Autumn	28	61	1144	0.0253	2.78 ± 0.06* (-)	0.94	0.88	1.02	0.98	1.08
	Winter	24	64	2169	0.0183	2.87 ± 0.02* (-)	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.94	1.04
	Spring	32	56	200	0.0150	2.92 ± 0.08 (0)	0.98	0.96	1.00	0.96	1.04
	Pooled	18	64	4548	0.0254	2.78 ± 0.02* (-)	0.98	0.96	1.00	0.95	1.06
2007	Summer	24	63	1512	0.0351	2.69 ± 0.03* (-)	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.93	1.04
	Autumn	25	55	349	0.0331	2.70 ± 0.08* (-)	0.96	0.93	1.00	0.94	1.05
	Winter	22	62	1089	0.0140	2.92 ± 0.03* (-)	0.99	0.97	1.12	1.05	1.19
	Pooled	22	63	2950	0.0242	2.78 ± 0.02* (-)	0.96	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.07
2011	Summer	15	64	873	0.0071	3.08 ± 0.04* (+)	0.98	0.95	1.00	0.93	1.08
	Spring	21	69	775	0.0136	2.93 ± 0.03* (-)	0.99	0.98	1.03	0.98	1.08
	Pooled	15	69	1648	0.0101	2.99 ± 0.03* (0)	0.98	0.96	1.05	0.95	1.13

Table 2. Estimated parameters of length-weight relationships and relative condition factor by season and year for *C. guatucupa*. Max= maximum length (cm); Min= minimum length (cm); n= number of individuals included in the analysis; a= intercept; b= slope; CI 95%= confidence interval; r= correlation coefficient; r²= coefficient of determination; Krel= Relative condition factor; 25 prcntil= percentile 25; 75 prcntil= percentile 75; (0)= Isometric growth; (+)= Significant positive allometry; (-)= Significant negative allometry; *= Significant diferences (*P* < 0.05) / Parámetros estimados de la relación longitud-peso y factor de condición relativo por época y año para *C .guatucupa*. Max= longitud máxima (cm); Min= longitud mínima (cm); n= número de individuos incluidos en el análisis; a= intercepto b= pendiente; CI 95%= intervalo de confianza; r= coeficiente de correlación; r²= coeficiente de determinación; Krel= Factor de condición relativo; 25 prcntil= Percentil 25; 75 prcntil= Percentil 75; (0)= Crecimiento isométrico; (+)= Alometría positiva significativa; (-)= Alometría negativa significativa; *= Diferencias significativas (*P* < 0,05)

| Year | Season | Length | | Parameters of the relationships | | | | | Relative Condition Factor | | |
		Min.	Max.	n	a	b ± CI 95%	r	r²	Krel	25 prcntil	75 prcntil
2006	Winter	24	53	1218	0.0359	2.63 ± 0.04 (-)	0.97	0.94	1.01	0.96	1.06
	Spring	32	52	214	0.0135	2.82 ± 0.10 (-)	0.93	0.86	1.30	1.24	1.37
	Pooled	24	53	1432	0.0251	2.73 ± 0.05 (-)	0.96	0.92	1.00	0.95	1.05
2007	Summer	22	53	886	0.0154	2.84 ± 0.03 (-)	0.98	0.96	1.02	0.96	1.08
	Autumn	21	50	721	0.0342	2.61 ± 0.05 (-)	0.97	0.94	1.01	0.95	1.06
	Winter	29	54	800	0.0136	2.88 ± 0.06 (-)	0.95	0.91	0.99	0.94	1.03
	Spring	31	51	356	0.0297	2.68 ± 0,10 (-)	0.93	0.87	1.01	0.96	1.05
	Pooled	21	54	2763	0.0147	2.86 ± 0.02 (-)	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.94	1.04
2011	Autumn	24	50	292	0.0280	2.68 ± 0.05 (-)	0.99	0.97	1.01	0.97	1.07
	Spring	32	54	798	0.0125	2.92 ± 0.06 (-)	0.96	0.92	0.98	0.94	1.04
	Pooled	24	54	1090	0.0146	2.87 ± 0.03 (-)	0.98	0.97	1.01	0.96	1.07

Krel were recorded in spring 2006, and the lowest values were recorded in spring 2011 and winter 2007 (Table 2). Significant differences were found between winter and spring for 2006 (KW= 524.9, P= $2.2e^{-16}$ < 0.05); among seasons (KW= 66.3, P= $2.135e^{-14}$ < 0.05) for 2007, except between autumn with respect to spring. Significant differences between autumn and spring (KW= 33.2, P= $8.397e^{-09}$ < 0.05) were found in 2011. All year showed significant differences (KW= 60.12, P= $8.82e^{-14}$ < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Data from different years and seasons showed that both species grew more in length than weight (b < 3). Not all literature reviewed showed data by season, sexes, or size range used for estimated length-weight relationships. Only 3 published papers have shown values of b > 3 for *Micropogonias furnieri* (Ehrhardt & Arena 1977, Ehrhardt *et al*. 1979; for females in both cases) and *Cynoscion guatucupa* (Madureira & Rossi-Wongtschowski 2005) (Table 3). The size-at-maturity for *M. furnieri* and *C. guatucupa* from the study area has been estimated between 32-36 cm T_L and 30-34.5 cm T_L, respectively (Pin *et al*. 2002, Norbis & Galli 2013). According to the minimum and maximum T_L recorded in this work, our data includes juvenile and adult individuals, and therefore should be considered as an average annual value, with the relationships being limited to the size range used to estimate the parameters. In 17 out of 49 published works (38%) of *M. furnieri* and in 2 out of 28 (7%) published works of *C. guatucupa*, were documented b values greater than 3, although it is not possible to know whether the differences are equal or significantly different of 3 (isometric or positive-negative allometric growth). This aspect should be taken into account given their importance for comparing conspecific individuals that inhabit different environments or species with wide distribution ranges sampled in different times.

For *M. furnieri* values of b > 3 (grew more in weight than in length) corresponded to individuals collected in shallow and protected coastal areas (coastal lagoons, bays, river mouths) or juveniles stages (Castello 1986, Muto *et al*. 2000, Joyeux *et al*. 2009, Borthagaray *et al*. 2011, Passos *et al*. 2012, Segura *et al*. 2012, Gurdek & Acuña 2014), except the b estimated by Franco *et al*. (2014) (Table 3). Individuals that inhabit shallow coastal waters (Castello 1986, Borthagaray *et al*. 2011) grow differently from those that inhabit greater depths (Haimovici & Umpierre 1996, Norbis & Verocai 2005), and use shallow coastal waters as nursery and feeding grounds (Mandali & Paes 1998, 2005; Olsson *et al*. 2013). Length-weight relationships are useful for comparisons regarding regional life histories of certain species of fish populations from different environments (Petrakis & Stergiou 1995, Froese 2006). For *M. furnieri* and approximately for the same sampling period, estimated value of b= 2.84+/-0.05 (negative allometric growth) in the Rio de la Plata (Norbis & Verocai 2002) was lower than b= 3.18+/-0.04 (positive allometric growth) estimated for Rocha coastal lagoon (Borthagaray *et al*. 2011) (Table 3), suggesting differences in length-weight relationships and growth. In the Rocha Lagoon the whitemouth croaker can be considered as resident, with a high degree of habitat fidelity (Saona *et al*. 2003, Olsson *et al*. 2013) and a complete life cycle within the lagoon (Vizziano *et al*. 2002). The size at first maturity in the Rocha Lagoon (19-20 cm) (Vizziano *et al*. 2002), was smaller than the estimated for the Río de la Plata (32-35 cm) (Pin *et al*. 2002, Norbis & Galli 2013), and in both cases corresponded to the third year of life (Verocai 2004, Norbis & Verocai 2005, Borthagaray *et al*. 2011). The increased in length-at-age in the Rocha lagoon (Borthagaray *et al*. 2011) was smaller than at inner continental shelf and in the Rio de la Plata (Haimovici & Umpierre 1996, Norbis & Verocai 2005). Morphometric and growth differences (Norbis & Verocai 2005, Borthagaray *et al*. 2011, Galli & Norbis 2013) in addition to genetic evidence (Pereira *et al*. 2009, D'Anatro *et al*. 2011) predicted the 2-stock hypothesis for *M. furnieri* in the study area, including coastal lagoons. Although the different stocks or groups have an important geographical proximity, environmental factors such as temperature and salinity may play an important role in the habitat differentiation and it is possible to identify different environmental systems between Río de la Plata (Guerrero *et al*. 1997) and Uruguayan coastal lagoons (Conde & Sommaruga 1999), that could affect the differences in length-weight relationships. The Río de la Plata is an extensive coastal plain estuary which receive fresh water inputs from Paraná and Uruguay rivers, with strong tidal influence, and a quasi-permanent salt wedge regime, which generates bottom and surface salinity fronts (Guerrero *et al*. 1997). Coastal lagoons are microtidal shallow brackish lagoons with strong influence of winds which continuously mix the water column (Conde & Sommaruga 1999, Conde *et al*. 1999, 2000).

For *C. guatucupa* no published works have provided differential growing for length-weight relationships, while a spatial segregation by sizes, with nursery grounds located on the inner continental shelves along the Uruguayan Atlantic coast is know (Ehrhardt *et al*. 1977, 1979; Cousseau *et al*. 1986, Ruarte *et al*. 2005). Similarly, studies on morphometric and genetic differentiation for *C. guatucupa* suggest that only one group is present in the study area (Sabadin *et al*. 2010) therefore, the length-weight relationships were representative for the entire population.

Table 3. Estimated parameters of length-weight relationships by year, season and sex for *M. furnieri* y *C. guatucupa* obtained from published literature. Max= maximum length (cm); Min= minimum length (cm); a= intercept; b= slope; IC 95%= confidence limit; Su= summer; A= autumn; W= winter; Sp= spring; f= females; m= males / Parámetros estimados de la relación longitud-peso por año, época y sexo para *M. furnieri* y *C. guatucupa* obtenidas de trabajos publicados. Max= longitud máxima (cm); Min= longitud mínima (cm); a= intercepto b= pendiente; IC 95%= intervalo de confianza; Su= verano; A= otoño; W= invierno; Sp= primavera; f= hembras; m= machos

Species	Length (cm)		Parameters of the relationships		Season	References
	Min.	Max.	A	b ± CL 95%		
M. furnieri			0.01031	2.99		Vazzoler & Iwai (1971)
			0.00896	3.05		Yamaguti *et al.* (1973)
	27.0	53.0	0.04438	2.63	Su_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	28.0	57.0	0.01664	2.90	A_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	26.0	58.0	0.01566	2.91	W_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	25.0	60.0	0.02628	2.76	Sp_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	29.0	56.0	0.04410	2.64	Su_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	28.0	57.0	0.01290	2.97	A_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	26.0	60.0	0.01276	2.96	W_f	Ehrhardt et al. (1977)
	25.0	60.0	0.02158	2.82	Sp_f	Ehrhardt *et al.*(1977)
			0.01089	3.11	f	Ehrhardt & Arena (1977)
			0.01566	2.91	m	Ehrhardt & Arena (1977)
			0.01248	2.96		Haimovici (1977)
	30.0	59.0	0.02654	2.76	Su_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	29.0	55.0	0.01757	2.88	A_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	30.0	60.0	0.01642	2.89	W_m	Ehrhardt et al. (1979)
	33.0	50.0	0.04310	2.65	Sp_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	29.0	59.0	0.02160	2.81	Su_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	30.0	55.0	0.01725	2.88	A_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	30.0	63.0	0.01320	2.96	W_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	30.0	55.0	0.00936	3.05	Sp_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
			0.00551	3.12		Castello (1986)
			0.01570	2.91		Isaac (1988)
			0.01250	2.96		Isaac (1988)
			0.01030	3.00		Isaac (1988)
			0.00897	3.06		Isaac (1988)
			0.01090	3.11		Isaac (1988)
			0.00551	3.12		Isaac (1988)
	6.4	62.2	$3.8*10^{-6}$	3.18 ± 0.04		Muto *et al.* (2000)
	13.5	73.6	$9.74*10^{-6}$	3.02		Haimovici & Velasco (2000)
			0.00879	3.06		Magro *et al.* (2000)
			0.00770	3.09		Magro *et al.* (2000)
	22.0	65.0	0.02038	2.84		Norbis & Verocai (2002)
	22.0	61.0	0.02315	2.79	m	Norbis & Verocai (2002)
	25.0	65.0	0.02393	2.79	f	Norbis & Verocai (2002)
			0.09045	2.99		Rodriguez da Costa & Araújo (2003)
	36.0	63.0	0.0215	2.83		Carozza *et al.* (2004)

Table 3. Continued / Continuación

	4.1	53.0	0.00830	3.05		Vianna *et al.* (2004)
	16.2	67.8	$1.6*10^{-5}$	2.93		Carneiro *et al.* (2005)
	18.1	60.8	0.01210	2.97		Carneiro *et al.* (2005)
	13.6	73.6	$1.14*10^{-5}$	2.92		Haimovici & Ignácio (2005)
	11.0	20.4	0.13890	2.25		Silva-Júnior *et al.* (2007)
	4.8	13.6	0.00673	$3.17 \pm 0,06$		Joyeux *et al.* (2009)
	14.1	38.4	0.00538	3.18 ± 0.04		Borthagaray *et al.* (2011)
	3.4	17.9	0.00457	3.18 ± 0.06		Passos *et al.* (2012)
	4.5	25.5	0.00600	3.15 ± 0.06		Segura *et al.* (2012)
	2.7	26.5	0.00741	3.07 ± 0.02		Gurdek & Acuña (2014)
	2.1	13.0	0.00800	2.90 ± 0.09		Franco *et al.* (2014)
C. guatucupa	22.0	53.0	0.01952	2.80	Su_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	24.0	50.0	0.05040	2.55	A_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	27.0	51.0	0.02755	2.73	W_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	27.0	54.0	0.01645	2.86	Sp_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	20.0	54.0	0.01727	2.83	Su_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	20.0	50.0	0.04399	2.58	A_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	25.0	51.0	0.02499	2.75	W_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1977)
	25.0	49.0	0.02287	2.77	Sp_f	Ehrhardt *et al.*(1977)
	23.0	52.0	0.02660	2.71	Su_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	22.0	51.0	0.04491	2.56	A_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	21.0	48.0	0.03789	2.63	W_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	20.0	52.0	0.04936	2.56	Sp_m	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	21.0	54.0	0.02731	2.70	Su_f	Ehrhardt *et al.*(1979)
	21.0	54.0	0.02888	2.69	A_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	21.0	53.0	0.08499	2.40	W_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
	17.0	54.0	0.05587	2.53	Sp_f	Ehrhardt *et al.* (1979)
			0.333	2.79	Su	Viera & Haimovici (1993)
			0.667	2.66	A	Viera & Haimovici (1993)
			0.963	2.55	W	Viera & Haimovici (1993)
			1.621	2.52	Sp	Viera & Haimovici (1993)
	4.7	38.9	$1.47*10^{-5}$	2.92 ± 0.01		Muto *et al.* (2000)
	5.8	57.5	$1.94*10^{-5}$	2.87		Haimovici & Velasco (2000)
	21	60	0.0515	2.55		Portela *et al.* (2002)
	49	60	0.00800	3.02		Portela *et al.* (2002)
			0.0186	2.81		Ruarte *et al.* (2004)
			0.0212	2.74		Ruarte *et al.* (2004)
	5.8	57.5	$1.73*10^{-5}$	2.88		Haimovici & Villwock de Miranda (2005)
			0.00962	3.01		Madureira & Rossi-Wongtschowski (2005)
	3.6	45.0	0.0120	2.93 ± 0.04		Segura *et al.* (2012)

This is the first study estimating the relative condition factor for both sciaenid species in the study area. *M. furnieri* and *C. guatucupa*, spawn in the same season (spring-summer) selecting habitats with contrasting salinity conditions (Macchi 1998, Macchi *et al.* 1996, 2003; Vizziano 2002, Militelli & Macchi 2006, Jaureguizar & Guerrero 2009), and have different trophic behaviour: *M. furnieri* is a benthic species (Puig 1986, Masello *et al.* 2002, Olsson et al. 2013) while *C. guatucupa* is nectopelagic species (Lopez-Cazorla 1996). Changes in the relative condition factor among seasons along the year for both species could be related to reproduction, feeding habits and/or water temperature; nonetheless, more studies considering monthly samples of temperature and indicator of the gonadosomatic index and condition factor are still needed in order to confirm this hypothesis.

The use of condition factor is extensively common in fisheries research as a morphometric condition index, and provides a useful tool to examine overall growth or habitat quality (Froese 2006). For *M. furnieri*, the pooled Krel in 2011 was highest than the values estimated for 2006 and 2007 and the opposite occurred with *C. guatucupa*: the pooled Krel in 2006 was higher than the values estimated for 2007 and 2011. The occurrence of two consecutive episodes of El Niño - La Niña may cause significant changes in environmental characteristics related to run-off of the Parana and Uruguay rivers with changes in the coastal salinity and turbidity conditions (Mechoso & Perez-Iribarren 1992, Pisciottano *et al.* 1994, García & Vargas 1998, Genta *et al.* 1998, Nagy *et al.* 2002), as occurred during 2010 (El Niño year) and 2011 (La Niña year) (NOAA 2011). This could have altered the habitat quality (*i.e.,* food availability) for benthic (*M. furnieri*) and nectopelagic (*C. guatucupa*) species, and the condition factor increased, or decreased, but no data about feeding exist for confirm this hypothesis.

LITERATURE CITED

Borthagaray A, J Verocai & W Norbis. 2011. Age validation and growth of *Micropogonias furnieri* (Pisces-Sciaenidae) in a temporally open coastal lagoon (South-western Atlantic - Rocha - Uruguay) based on otolith analysis. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 27: 1212-1217.

Carneiro MH, PM Gênova de Castro, SL dos Santos-Tutui & GC Cyrino-Bastos. 2005. *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823). In: Rossi CLW, MC Cergole & AO Ávila-da-Silva (eds). Análise das principais pescarias comerciais da regiao sudesde-sul do Brasil: Dinámica populacional das especies em exploracao, pp. 94-100. Serie Documentos Revizee-Score Sul, IOUSP, Sao Paulo.

Carozza C, C Lasta, C Ruarte, C Cotrina, H Mianzan & M Acha. 2004. Corvina rubia (*Micropogonias furnieri*). In: Sanchez RP & S Veis (eds). El Mar Argentino y sus recursos pesqueros. Tomo 4. Los peces marinos de interés pesquero. Caracterización biológica y evaluación del estado de explotación, pp. 255-270. .Publicaciones Especiales INIDEP, Mar del Plata.

Cassia MC. 1986. Reproducción y fecundidad de la pescadilla de red (*Cynoscion striatus*). Frente Marítimo 1: 191-204.

Castello JP. 1986. Distribución, crecimiento y maduración sexual de la corvina juvenil (*Micropogonias furnieri*) en el estuario de la 'Lagoa dos Patos', Brasil. Physis 44: 21-36.

Conde D & R Sommaruga. 1999. A review of the state of limnology in Uruguay. In: Wetzel RG & Gopal B (eds). Limnology in developing countries 2: 1-31. International Scientific Publications/SIL, Stuttgart

Conde D, S Bonilla, L Aubriot, L De León & W Pintos. 1999. Comparison of the aerial amount of chlorophyll a of planktonic and attached microalgae in a shallow coastal lagoon. Hydrobiologia 408 D 409: 285-291.

Conde D, L Aubriot & R Sommaruga. 2000. Changes in UV penetration associated with marine intrusions and freshwater discharge in a shallow coastal lagoon of the Southern Atlantic Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 207: 19-31.

Cousseau M, C Cotrina, H Cordo & G Burgos. 1986. Análisis de datos biológicos de corvina rubia (*Micropogonias furnieri*) y pescadilla de red (*Cynoscion striatus*) obtenidos en 2 campañas del año 1983. Frente Marítimo 1: 319-332.

Cousseau MB & RG Perrotta. 2004. Peces marinos de Argentina: biología, distribución, pesca, 167 pp. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero / INIDEP, Mar del Plata.

D'Anatro A, A Pereira & E Lessa. 2011. Genetic structure of the white croaker, *Micropogonias furnieri* Desmarest 1823 (Perciformes: Sciaenidae) along Uruguayan coasts: contrasting marine, estuarine, and lacustrine populations. Environmental Biology of Fishes 91: 407-420.

Ehrhardt NM & G Arena. 1977. Dinámica de población de la corvina blanca (*Micropogon opercularis*) en el Río de la Plata y su frente oceánico. Informe Técnico INAPE 8: 1-43. Instituto Nacional de Pesca, Montevideo.

Ehrhardt N, G Arena, A Abella, Z Varela, E Sánchez & D De Moratorio. 1977. Evaluación preliminar de los recursos demersales en la Zona Común de Pesca Argentino-Uruguaya. Informe Técnico INAPE 11: 1-176. Instituto Nacional de Pesca, Montevideo.

Ehrhardt N, G Arena, A Abella, C Ríos, N De Moratorio & M Rey. 1979. Evaluación preliminar de los recursos demersales en la Zona Común de Pesca Argentino-Uruguaya. Informe Técnico INAPE 13: 1-186. Instituto Nacional de Pesca, Montevideo.

Franco T, C Araujo & F Araujo. 2014. Length-weight relationships for 25 fish species from three coastal lagoons in Southeastern Brazil. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 30: 248-250.

Froese R. 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 22: 241-253.

Galli O & W Norbis. 2013. Morphometric and meristic spatial differences and mixed groups of the whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri* (Demearest, 1823) during the spawning season: implications for management. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 29: 782-788.

García N & W Vargas. 1998. The temporal climatic variability in the Rio de la Plata basin displayed by the river discharges. Climate Change 38: 359-379.

Genta J, G Pérez-Iribarren & C Mechoso. 1998. A recent increasing trend in the stream flow of rivers in Southeastern South America. Journal of Climate 11: 2858-2862.

Guerrero RA, ME Acha, ME Framiñan & C Lasta. 1997. Physical oceanography of the Rio de la Plata Estuary. Continental Shelf Research 17(7): 727-742.

Gurdek R & A Acuña. 2014. Weight-length relationships of 12 fish species from the Pando tidal creek estuary (subsystem of the Río de la Plata, Uruguay). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 30: 426-427.

Haimovici M. 1977. Idade, crescimento e aspectos gerais da biologia da corvina *Micropogon opercularis* (Quoy e 1977 Gaimard, 1824) (Pisces, Sciaenidae). Atiântica 2(1): 21-49.

Haimovici M & JM Ignácio. 2005. Pesca da corvina no sul do Brasil. In: Rossi CLW, MC Cergole & AO Ávila-da-Silva (eds). Análise das principais pescarias comerciais da regiao sudesde-sul do Brasil: Dinámica populacional das especies em exploracao, pp. 101-107. Serie Documentos Revizee-Score Sul, IOUSP, Sao Paulo.

Haimovici M & R Umpierre. 1996. Seasonal variations in population structure and growth changes of the croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) in the south of Brazil. Atlantica 18: 179-202.

Haimovici M & G Velasco. 2000. Length-weight relationship of marine fishes from southern Brazil. Naga 23: 19-23.

Haimovici M & L Villwock de Miranda. 2005. Pesca da Pescada-olhudano sul do Brasil. In: Rossi CLW, MC Cergole & AO Ávila-da-Silva (eds). Análise das principais pescarias comerciais da regiao sudesde-sul do Brasil: Dinámica populacional das especies em exploracao, pp. 40-51. Serie Documentos Revizee-Score Sul, IOUSP, Sao Paulo.

Hammer Ø, D Harper & P Ryan. 2011. Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Paleontologia Electronica 4(1): 1-9.

Isaac VJ. 1988. Synopsis of biological data on the whitemouth croaker, *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823). FAO Fisheries Synopsis 150: 1-35.

Jaureguizar A & R Guerrero. 2009. Striped weakfish (*Cynoscion guatucupa*) population structure in waters adjacent to Río de la Plata, environmental influence on it inter-annual variability. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 85: 89-96.

Jaureguizar A, J Bava, C Carozza & C Lasta. 2003. Distribution of the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* in relation to environmental factors at the Río de la Plata estuary, South America. Marine Ecology Progress Series 225: 271-282.

Joyeux JC, T Giarrizzo, RM Macieira, HL Spach & T Vaske Jr. 2009. Length-weight relationships for Brazilian estuarine fishes along a latitudinal gradient. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 25: 350-355.

Le Cren ED. 1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). Journal of Animal Ecology 20: 201-219.

Lopez-Cazorla A. 1996. The food of *Cynoscion striatus* (Cuvier) in the Bahía Blanca area, Argentina. Fisheries Research 28: 371-379.

Lorenzo MI, JM Diaz de Astarloa, W Norbis & MB Cousseau. 2011. Long term fish assemblages as units of management in a temperate estuary (Rio de la Plata - SW Atlantic Ocean). Brazilian Journal of Oceanography 59: 43-59.

Macchi G. 1998. Preliminary estimate of spawning frequency and batch fecundity of striped weakfish, *Cynoscion striatus*, in coastal waters off Buenos Aires province. Fishery Bulletin 96: 375-381.

Macchi G, E Acha & C Lasta. 1996. Desove y fecundidad de la corvina rubia (*Micropogonias furnieri*, Desmarest, 1826) en el estuario del Río de la Plata, Argentina. Boletin Instituto Español de Oceanografía 12: 99-113.

Macchi G, E Acha & MI Militelli. 2003. Seasonal egg production of whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) in the Río de la Plata estuary Argentina-Uruguay. Fishery Bulletin 10: 332-342.

Madureira L & C Rossi-Wongtschowski. 2005. Prospecção de recursos pesqueiros pelágicos na Zona Econômica Exclusiva da região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil: Hidroacústica e biomassas, 144 pp. Instituto Oceanográfico, USP, São Paulo.

Magro M, M Cergole & C Rossi-Wongtschowski. 2000. Avaliação do potencial sustentável de recursos vivos na Zona Econômica Exclusiva-REVIZEE - Síntese de conhecimentos dos principais recursos pesqueiros costeiros potencialmente explotáveis na costa Sudeste-Sul do Brasil: Peixes. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, 154 pp. CIMP - Comissão Interministerial para os Recursos do Mar, São Paulo.

Mandali G & J Paes. 1998. Feeding chronology and diet of corvina, *Micropogonias furnieri*, in Lagoa dos Patos estuary, Rs, Brazil. Atlantica 20: 55-72.

Mandali G & J Paes. 2005. Diel feeding, daily food consumption and the predatory impact of whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) in an estuarine environment. Marine Ecology Progress Series 26: 130-139.

Masello A, F Scarabino, M Gamarra & R Menafra. 2002. Study of feeding habits and stomach contents of *Micropogonias furnieri*. In: Vizziano D, C Mesones & G Nagy (eds). The Río de la Plata research for the management of the environment, the fisheries resources and fishery in the saline front, pp. 147-161. Ecoplata Program, Montevideo.

Mechoso C & G Pérez-Iribarren. 1992. Stream flow in Southeastern South America and the Southern Oscillation. Journal of Climate 5: 1535-1539.

Menezes NA, PA Buckup, JL Figueiredo & RI Moura. 2003. Catalogo de Peixes Marinhos do Brasil. Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de Sao Paulo, 160 pp. Sao Paulo, Brasil.

Militelli M & G Macchi. 2006. Spawning and fecundity of striped weakfish, *Cynoscion guatucupa*, in the Río de la Plata estuary and adjacent marine waters, Argentina-Uruguay. Fisheries Research 77: 110-114.

Moutopoulos DK & KI Stergiou. 2002. Length-weight and length-length relationships of fish species from the Aegean Sea (Greece). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 18: 200-203.

Muto E, L Soares & C Rossi-Wongtschowski. 2000. Length - weight relationships of marine fish species off Sao Sebastiao system, Sao Paulo, southeastern Brazil. Naga 23: 27-29.

Nagy G, V Pshennikov & P Robatto. 2002. Monthly variability of salinity in the frontal zone of the Río de la Plata off Montevideo, in response to consecutive ENSO fluctuations and the flow of the Uruguay river (1998-2000). In: Vizziano D, C Mesones & G Nagy (eds). The Río de la Plata research for the management of the environment, the fisheries resources and fishery in the saline front, pp. 21-29. Ecoplata Program, Montevideo.

NOAA. 2011. National Climatic Data Center, State of the Climate: Global Analysis for Annual 2011. <http://ncdc.noaa.gov/sotc/global/2011/13>.

Norbis W & O Galli. 2013. Spatial co-ocurrence of two sciaenid species (*Micropogonias fuernieri* and *Cynoscion guatucupa*) subject to fishing in the Río de la Plata and oceanic coast of Uruguay: ecological or technological interdependence? Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 39(2): 137-148.

Norbis W & J Verocai. 2002. Analysis of the population structure of croaker captured by the artisanal fishery of Pajas Blancas. In: Vizziano D, P Puig, C Mesones & G Nagy (eds). The Rio de la Plata. Research to manage the environment, fish resource and the fishery in the saline front, pp. 175-187. Ecoplata Program, Montevideo.

Norbis W & J Verocai. 2005. Presence of two-whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*, Pisces: Sciaenidae) groups into the Rio de la Plata spawning coastal area as consequence of reproductive migration. Fisheries Research 74: 134-141.

Norbis W, L Paesch & O Galli. 2006. Los recursos pesqueros de la costa de Uruguay; Ambiente, biología y gestión. En: Menafra R, L Rodríguez-Gallego, F Scarabino & D Conde (eds). Bases para la conservación y el manejo de la costa uruguaya, 197-210. Vida Silvestre Uruguay, Montevideo.

Olsson D, F Forni, G Saona, J Verocai & W Norbis. 2013. Temporal feeding habits of the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* in a shallow coastal lagoon (southwestern Atlantic Ocean, Uruguay). Ciencias Marinas 39(3): 265-276.

Passos A, R Schwarz Jr, B Cartagena, A Garcia & H Spach. 2012. Weight-length relationship of 63 demersal fishes on the shallow coast of Paraná, Brazil. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 28: 845-847.

Pereira A, A Marquez, M Marin & Y Marin. 2009. Genetic evidence of two stocks of the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* in the Rio de la Plata and oceanic front in Uruguay. Journal of Fish Biology 75: 321-331.

Petrakis G & KI Stergiou. 1995. Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. Fisheries Research 21: 465-469.

Pin O, E Chiessa & M Gammarra. 2002. Analysis of the mean length and evolution of first sexual maturity of croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* based on the research campaigns in the Argentine - Uruguayan common fishing zone during the period 1988-1995. In: Vizziano D, P Puig, C Mesones & G Nagy (eds). The Rio de la Plata. Research to manage the environment, fish resources and the fishery in the salinity front, pp. 87-101. Ecoplata Program, Montevideo.

Pisciottano G, A Diaz, G Cazes & C Mechoso. 1994. El Niño-Southern Oscillation impact on rainfall in Uruguay. Journal of Climate 7: 1286-1302.

Portela J, M González & J Bellido. 2002. Preliminary results of an exploratory fishing targeting deep-water species off Uruguay. ICES ASC Session L:04: 1-17.

Puig P. 1986. Análisis de contenidos estomacales de corvina blanca (*Micropogon opercularis*) (Sciaenidae, Perciformes). Verano 1984. Frente Maritimo 1: 333-340.

Ricker W. 1973. Linear regressions in fishery research. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30: 409-434.

Rodriguez da Costa M & FG Araújo. 2003. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest) (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) in the Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 20(4): 685-690.

Ruarte C, C Lasta & C Carozza. 2004. Pescadilla de red (*Cynoscion guatucupa*). En: Sanchez R & S Veis (eds). El Mar Argentino y sus recursos pesqueros. Tomo 4. Los peces marinos de interés pesquero. Caracterización biológica y evaluación del estado de explotación, pp. 271-281. INIDEP, Mar del Plata.

Ruarte CO, CA Lasta & CR Carozza. 2005. Delimitación de un área de concentración de juveniles de pescadilla de red (*Cynoscion guatucupa*), en la Zona Común de Pesca Argentino-Uruguaya. Frente Marítimo 20: 51-56.

Sabadín D, M Gonzalez-Castro, C Ludica, J Diaz de Astarloa & J Fernandez-Iriarte. 2010. Morphometric and genetic assessment of the *Cynoscion guatucupa* population structure from Buenos Aires coast, Argentine Sea. Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía 45: 513-517.

Saona G, F Forni, D Vizziano & W Norbis. 2003. Structure by size, sex and maturity stage of the whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*, Desmarest, 1823; Teleostei Sciaenidae) in the bycatch of the artisanal fishery at Rocha Lagoon, Uruguay. Ciencias Marinas 29: 315-324.

Segura A, R Trinchin, J Rabellino, F Scarabino, F Teixeira-de Mello & A Carranza. 2012. Length-weight relationships of 14 coastal fish species from Punta del Diablo (Rocha, Uruguay). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 28: 852-853.

Silva-Júnior M, A Castro, L Soares & V França. 2007. Relação peso-comprimento de espécies de peixes do estuário do rio Paciência da ilha do Maranhão, Brasil. Boletim do Laboratório de Hidrobiologia 20: 31-38.

Sokal R & F Rohlf. 1995. Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research, 887 pp. W.H. Freeman & Company, New York.

Vasconcellos M & M Haimovici. 2006. Status of white croaker M*icropogonias furnieri* exploited in southern Brazil according to alternative hypotheses of stock discreetness. Fisheries Research 80: 196-202.

Vazzoler G & M Iwai 1971. Relatório sobre prospecçó e pesca exploratória na plataforma continental do Rio Grande do Sul. Contribuicoes Avulsas do Instituto Oceanografico, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Série Oceanografía Biológica 25: 1-79.

Verocai JE. 2004. Age and growth of the Atlantic croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823), Master Thesis, Zoology, PEDECIBA, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la Republica, Montevideo, 140 pp.

Vianna M, F Costa & C Ferreira. 2004. Length-weight relationship of fish caught as by-catch by shrimp fishery in the southeastern Coast of Brazil. Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 30(1): 81-85.

Viera P & M Haimovici. 1993. Idade e crescimento da pescada olhuda *Cynoscion striatus* (Pisces, Sciaenidae) no sul do Brasil. Atlántica 15: 73-91.

Villwock de Miranda L & M Haimovici. 2007. Changes in the population structure, growth and mortality of striped weakfish *Cynoscion guatucupa* (Sciaenidae, Teleostei) of southern Brazil between 1976 and 2002. Hydrobiologia 589: 69-78.

Vizziano D. 2002. Reproductive cycle of the white croaker *Micropogonias furnieri*in the frontal zone of the Río de la Plata. In: Vizziano D, P Puig, C Mesones & G Nagy (eds). The Río de la Plata. Research to manage the environment, fish resource and the fishery in the saline front, pp. 103-111. Ecoplata Program, Montevideo.

Vizziano D, F Forni, G Saona & W Norbis. 2002. Reproduction of the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Pisces: Sciaenidae) in a shallow temperate coastal lagoon of the Southern Atlantic Ocean (Uruguay). Journal of Fish Biology 61: 196-206.

Yamaguti N, EM Zaneti & E Kawakami. 1973. Estudo preliminar sobre o ciclo de vida das Sciaenidae. 2. Composiçao de populaço em classes de idade e aspectos do crescimento. In: Relatório sobre a segunda pesquisa oceanografica e pesquisa do Atlántico Sul entre Torres e Maldonado (29ºS-35ºS). Programa Rio Grande do Sul II. Publicação Especial do Instituto Oceanográfico da USP, São Paulo 3 (parte 2): 293-306.